		-	-	sis populat				
Number of cases (offspring) exploited in the analyses presented in figures 2-8 Total number observed to have Men 170 669								
		Men	170 669					
lost a lone parent in 1993-2005 (see Table 1 in the paper)		Women	138 037					
			Cases included	Cases lost	Reasons why cases are lost			
Figures 2 (all), 3 (by number of siblings), 6 (by education) and 8 (by age)	Income	Men	160 984	9 685	1. having lost a lone parent twice during the relevant period (this is possible when the parents are divorced), 2. not living in the country the			
		Women	122 638	15 399	year the parent die, 3. being employed less than one year during the period			
	Employment	Men	167 751	2 918	1. having lost a lone parent twice, 2. not living in the country the year the parent die, 1. having lost a lone parent twice, 2. not living in the country the year the parent die, 3. not observing the exact month the parent die			
		Women	135 579	2 458				
	Welfare	Men	167 107	3 562				
		Women	135 173	2 864				
	Income	Men	110 482	60 187	As for Figure 2, 3, 6 and 8, plus 1. not observing the parent's			
Figure 4 (by		Women	86 893	51 144				
municipality type; home care oriented or institution oriented)	Employment	Men	115 400	55 269				
		Women	96 058	41279	residual municipality in the years before 1998			
	Welfare	Men	115 246	55 423				
		Women	95 948	42 089				
Figure 5 (by level of inheritance)	Income	Men	134 464	36 205				
		Women	105 019	33 018	As for Figure 2, 3, 6 and 8, plus			
	Employment	Men	140 234	30 435	1. not observing the parent's wealth the years before 1994			
		Women	115 815	22 222				
					weathi the years before 1994			
	Welfare	Men	140 041	30 628				
		Women	115 703	22 334				
Figure 7 (by geographical distance to the parent)	Income	Men	110 556	60 113	As for Figure 2, 3, 6 and 8, plus 1. not observing the parent's			
		Women	86 932	51 105				
	Employment Welfare	Men	115 479	55 190	municipality in the years before 1998			
		Women	96 099	41 938				
		Men	115 326	55 343				
		Women	95 989	42 048				

Number of cases (offspring) in each category in the figure 2-8					
		Number o	Number of cases in		
		each ca	each category		
		Men	133 246		
Eigung 2	Children with siblings	Women	110 125		
Figure 3	Lone children	Men	34 505		
	Lone children	Women	25 454		
	Darant living in a home care oriented municipality	Men	53 737		
Figure 4	Parent living in a home care oriented municipality	Women	44 785		
	Darant living in an institution oriented municipality	Men	64 672		
	Parent living in an institution oriented municipality		53 851		
Figure 5	Offenring expecting a low inheritance	Men	19 694		
	Offspring expecting a low inheritance	Women	15 856		
rigule 3	Offspring expecting a significant inheritance	Men	120 540		
	Orispring expecting a significant finieritance	Women	99 959		
	Low education	Men	87 103		
Figure 6	Low education	Women	85 154		
	Medium education	Men	41 616		
	Weditin education	Women	19 692		
	High education	Men	38 393		
	Tigh education	Women	30 175		
	Offspring living in the same travel-to-work area as the parent	Men	34 381		
Figure 7	Offspring fiving in the same traver-to-work area as the parent	Women	34 448		
	Offspring living in another travel-to-work area	Men	84 120		
	Offspring fiving in another traver-to-work area	Women	64 249		
Figure 8	38-44 years old at the time of parent's death	Men	31 459		
	30-44 years old at the time of parent's death	Women	29 109		
	45-54 years old at the time of parent's death	Men	83 431		
	75 57 years old at the time of parent's death	Women	69 378		
	More than 55 years old at the time of parent's death	Men	52 861		
	171010 man 55 yours old at the time of parent's double	Women	37 092		

Note: The table refers the number of cases used in the models for employment.